

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	1	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,116	06/25/2001		Yukio Shikatani	33714	2341
116	7590 03/24/2004	- 1		EXAMI	NER'
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET				AL HASHEMI, SANA A	
SUITE 1200	IH SIKEEI	/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND	O, OH 44114-3108	\vec{x}		2171	4
	ı	/		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	
	•	, ,			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/869,116	SHIKATANI, YUKIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sana Al-Hashemi	2171					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ine 2001.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	,•					
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dail 5) Notice of Informal Pail 6) Other:	e					

DETAILED ACTION

Claims Status: 1-24 rejected

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be on a separate page. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 5 recites the limitation "AV". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Correction is required.

Claim 22, the term "fir" is misspelled. Correction required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Stern (US Patent No. 6,366,914).

1. Regarding Claim 1, Stern discloses a storage-based digital broadcast system wherein contents transmitted from sending side are stored on a receiving side when reproduced, characterized in that

the sending side transmits service structure information describing the relational structure between service provided by contents providers and reference information associating the contents with the service described in said service structure information, and that (see column 4, lines 17-38, Stern);

the receiving side uses said received service structure information and reference information to manage the storage of said contents (see column 4, lines 49-60, Stern).

2. Regarding Claim 2, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that, the sending side transmits said service structure information and reference information before transmission of said contents and that the receiving side receives side receives and stores said service structure information and reference information and uses the information for management of said storage of contents to be received later (see column 4, lines 61-67, column 5, lines 1-4, Stern).

- 3. Regarding Claim 3, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast characterized in that the sending side transmits said information before transmission of said contents and transmits said service structure information after transmission of said content and that the receiving side combines said reference information that has been stored an said service structure information that has been received later and uses the information for management of the storage of said contents (see column 5, lines 5-21, Stern).
- 4. Regarding Claim 4, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said contents contains non- stream format data contents (see column 5, lines 15-21, Stern¹).
- 5. Regarding Claim 5, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said content s contains stream format (see column 4, lines 40-48, Stern)
- 6. Regarding Claim 6, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said service structure information is transmitted via SI (Service Information)(see column 7, lines 27-32, Stern).
- 7. Regarding Claim 7, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said service structure information is transmitted as said SI by using the ERT (Event Relation Table) (see column 7, lines 33-41, Stern)
- 8. Regarding Claim 8, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that the type information and for the meaning of the service structure information and for selecting to operation on the receiving side is appended to said service structure information (see column 8, lines 3-11, Stern).
- 9. Regarding Claim 9, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said reference information is transmitted via SI (see column 7, lines 3-12, Stern).
- 10. Regarding Claim 10, a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said reference information is Transmitted as said SI by using a reference descriptor (see column 7, lines 13-20, Stern).

¹ All video images are MPEG which is a non-format data.

- 11. Regarding Claim 11, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said receiving said exclusively manages the storage areas of said contents per service (see column 5, lines 38-47, Stern).
- 12. Regarding Claim 12, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said receiving side manages the validity term of stored contents per service (see column 8, lines 22-28, Stern).
- 13. Regarding Claim 13, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system according characterized in that said receiving side manages the value of stored contents per service (see column 8, lines 29-36, Stern).
- 14. Regarding Claim 14, Stern discloses a storage -based broadcast system characterized in that-said sending side specifies a particular service in said service structure information and that the receiving side performs processing tailored to the contents related to the specified service (see column 8, lines 43-47, Stern).
- 15. Regarding Claim 15, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said receiving specifies a service in said service structure information and stores only the contents related to the specified service (see column 8, lines 37-42, Stern).
- 16. Regarding Claim 16, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said sending side appends an automatic storage flag to contents in said reference information and that the receiving side stores or updates the contents with said automatic storage flag appended (see column 7, lines 42-46, Stern).
- 17. Regarding Claim 17, a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that sending side appended an automatic storage flag to contents in said reference information and specifies a particular service in said service structure information and that the receiving side automatically stores or updates the content related to the specified service (see column 7, lines 42-49, Stern).
- 18. Regarding Claim 18, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said sending side appended an automatic storage flag to contents in said reference information and that said receiving side specifies a service in said service structure information and automatically stores or update the contents with said

Art Unit:2171 automatic storage flag appended among the contents related to the specified service (see column 7, lines 50-55, Stern).

19. Regarding Claim 19, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said automatic storage flag is transmitted via SI (see column 7, lines 56-63, Stern).

- 20. Regarding Claim 20, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that an EIT (Event Information table) is used to transmit said automatic storage flag as said SI (see column 6, lines 46-67, Stern).
- 21. Regarding Claim 21, Stern discloses a storage-based broadcast system characterized in that said sending side specifies the relationship between the same contents in said service structure information and that said receiving side a voids duplicated storage of the same contents based on said service structure information (see column 5, liens 22-31, Stern).
- 22. Regarding Claims 22, and 23, Stern discloses a storage-based digital broadcast system wherein contents transmitted from a sending side are stored on a receiving side then reproduced, characterized in that said transmitter comprises

Service structure information generating means for generating service structure information representing a relational structure between service provided by contents providers (see column 4, lines 17-28, Stern);

Reference information generating means for generating reference information for associating the contents with the service described in said service structure information (see column 4, lines 28-37, Stern);

Service information adding means said reference information to service information (see column 5, lines 54-56, Stern)and;

Multiplexing/transmission means for multiplexing service information containing said reference information and said service structure information into contents and transmitting the resulting data (see column 5, lines 15-21, Stern).

23. Regarding Claim 24, a receiver characterized in that said receiver comprises automatic storage management means for managing automatic storage of content in said contents storage means based on the automatic storage flag appended to said service information (see column 8, lines 3-11, Stern).

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to: Sana Al-Hashemi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436. Any response to this office action should be mailed to: The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. Or telefax at phone number (703) 872-9306. For formal or draft communications, please label "PROSPOSED" or "DRAFT". Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Sana Al-Hashemi Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 March 16, 2004 Bu

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100